IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION

VIRGINIA WOODALL,)	
53 Cottonwood Road)	
Cape Fair, Missouri 65624)	
_)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No. 3:10-cv-05074-MJW
VS.)	
)	
ACCOUNT RECEIVABLE)	
MANAGEMENT OF FLORIDA,)	
9000 Regency Square Blvd.)	
Suite 1 Ground Floor)	
Jacksonville, Florida 32211)	
)	
Defendant.)	

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

VIRGINIA WOODALL (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against the ACCOUNT RECEIVABLE MANAGEMENT OF FLORIDA (Defendant):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Verified Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). According to the FDCPA, the United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

- collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. 1692(a) (e).
- Plaintiff brings this action to challenge Defendant's actions with regard to attempts by Defendant, a debt collector, to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff's damages.
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- Because Defendant conducts business in the state of Missouri, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Cape Fair, Stone County, Missouri, and is allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 8. According to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).

- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant is a collection agency that conducts business in the State of Missouri.
- 11. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 12. Defendant is a collection agency that in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of itself or others, engages in debt collection.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant is collecting from Plaintiff on a debt owed to Instant Cash USA.
- 14. In or around the end of August 2010, Defendant began placing collection calls to Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendant placed up to four calls to Plaintiff per day from 866-679-4046.
- 16. Plaintiff told Defendant to stop calling.
- 17. Defendant continues to place collection calls to Plaintiff up to four times per day.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 18. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing the telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly.
 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, VIRGINIA WOODALL, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ACCOUNT RECEIVABLE MANAGEMENT OF FLORIDA, for the following:

- 19. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 20. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 21. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By:/s/ Adam C. Maxwell

[] Adam C. Maxwell

Bar # 62103

Attorney for Plaintiff

Krohn & Moss, Ltd.

120 W. Madison Street

10th Floor

Chicago, IL 60602

(312) 578-9428 (direct)

e-mail: amaxwell@consumerlawcenter.com

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, VIRGINIA WOODALL, hereby demands trial by jury in this action.

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI)

Plaintiff, VIRGINIA WOODALL, states the following:

- I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding. 1.
- I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information 2. and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
- I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing 3.
- I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a 4. needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the
- I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.
- Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to 5. this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original. 6.
- Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of 7. the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, VIRGINIA WOODALL, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Virginia Woodall
VIRGINIA WOODALL